

ArteActa

Reviewer Report

The reviewer hereby confirms that while formulating this report they observed the rules of the *Review Process* and the *Code of Ethics of ArteActa Journal*.

Section A (for reviewers)

Title of the text:

Name of reviewer:

Submission date:

The originality of the text (*please use bold when making your selection*):

1. If I am able to judge, it is an original text; there are no signs of plagiarism.
2. A text of which a part, or a revisionary version, has already been published. (*specify where*)

Reviewer notes and comments designated for editors only:

Section B (for the editorial staff and authors of the text)

Title of the text:

Overall position (please use bold when making your selection):

1. I recommend it for publication
2. I recommend if for publication after revision
3. I do not recommend it for publication

Overall evaluation (selected the appropriate response; 1 = above-average, 2 = average, 3 = below-average):

	1	2	3
Language, formulation, and stylistic level			
The adequacy of the chosen methodology and the quality of its application			
Quality of the theoretical argumentation			
General contribution of the text			

Does the manuscript observe the citation standards of the journal? (Please mark the appropriate response in bold; citation standards are available here: <https://namu.cz/files/2016-04/160405094816.pdf>).

<u>Yes</u>	
<u>Partly</u>	
<u>No / Predominately no</u>	

Written evaluation, notes, and comments (2 standard pages maximum):